


UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X  
BISHOP WILLIAM B. CARACTOR, :  
DISCOVERED BEING MINISTRY INC., :  
Plaintiff, :

-against- :

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELESS :  
SERVICES, CITY OF NEW YORK, et al., :  
Defendants. :  
-----X

ALVIN K. HELLERSTEIN, U.S.D.J.:



**ORDER DENYING APPOINTMENT  
OF COUNSEL**

07 Civ. 8069 (AKH)

Plaintiff William B. Caractor, appearing pro se, has made an application for appointment of counsel, dated October 4, 2007. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1) (“The court may request an attorney to represent any person unable to afford counsel.”). In light of the decisions of the Second Circuit in Cooper v. A. Sargenti Co., 877 F.2d 170 (2d Cir. 1989), and Hodge v. Police Officers, 802 F.2d 58 (2d Cir. 1986), I deny the application.

The threshold question in determining whether to assign counsel to an indigent is “whether the indigent’s position [is] likely to be of substance.” Cooper, 877 F.2d at 172. If an indigent’s position is found likely to be of substance, additional factors are to be considered with respect to whether counsel should be appointed. Those factors include “[the indigent’s] ability to obtain representation independently, and his ability to handle the case without assistance in the light of the required factual investigation, the complexity of the legal issues, and the need for expertly conducted cross-examination to test veracity.” Id.; see also Hodge, 802 F.2d at 61–62.


Volunteer time of lawyers is a scarce resource that should be carefully allocated.

Cooper, 877 F.2d at 172. “[C]ourts should not grant such applications indiscriminately.” Id. At this point in the proceedings, Plaintiff has not shown that his position is “likely to be of substance,” nor the existence of other factors that would satisfy the standard for appointment of counsel. Id. He has not shown that he would be unable to obtain counsel independently, or that this case requires factual investigation, the parsing of complex legal issues, or expertly conducted cross-examination.

A more fully developed record is needed before I can determine if Plaintiff’s chances of success and the existence of other appropriate factors warrant the appointment of counsel. Therefore, Plaintiff’s application is denied without prejudice to its renewal.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 11, 2007  
New York, New York

  
ALVIN K. HELLERSTEIN  
United States District Judge